Natural	Description of	State	Assessment Tools	Measurement		
Resource	Concern	Quality	for	Units		
Concern		Criteria	Quality Criteria Evaluation			
PLANTS						

Plants not adapted or suited	Plants are not adapted and/or suited to site conditions or client objectives.	Selected plants are adapted to the soil and climatic conditions or the site is modified to make it suitable for the desired plants. Plants are sustainable, do not negatively impact other resources, and meet client objectives. For specific land uses, additional criteria apply: Cropland: A healthy stand with vigorous growth. Yields at least 75% of client expectations. Rangeland: Plants on or planned for the site are listed in applicable Ecological Site Descriptions (ESD) Pastureland: Plants on or planned for the site have a site adaptation score greater than 3 using Pasture Condition Scoring (PCS)and are listed in applicable Forage Suitability Groups (FSG)reports. Hayland: Plants on or planned for the site are listed in applicable Forage Suitability Groups (FSG) reports. Forestland/Agroforest: Plants on or planned for the site are listed in Ecological Site Descriptions (ESD) OR THE ALASKA VEGETATION CLASIFICATION, VIERECK	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	On-site investigation and records Forage Suitability Groups (FSG) Pasture Condition Scoring (PCS) Client interview PLANTS database VEGSPEC Revegetation Guide for Alaska Plant hardiness zone map Soil pH, drainage class, sodium adsorption ratio (SAR) and electrical conductivity (EC) suitability ranges. Soil interpretations – Section IV Field Crop Production Handbook Alaska Soil survey manuscripts Ecological Site Descriptions (ESD) Conservation Tree and Shrub Groups (CTSG) Silvics of North America Trees NRCS Discipline Manuals/handbooks Planting Guide For Trees In Urban and Rural Alaska	Non Measurable
------------------------------	---	--	---	--	-------------------

Page 1 of 4 February, 2005

Natural	Description of	State	Assessment Tools	Measurement		
Resource	Concern	Quality	for	Units		
Concern		Criteria	Quality Criteria Evaluation			
PLANTS						

Plant –	Plants do not produce the	Selected plants on or planned for the	Field Crop Production Handbook
Condition -	yields, quality, and soil	site are sufficiently productive to meet	Alaska Measurable
Productivity,	cover to meet client	or exceed client needs. For specific	Client interview
Health and	objectives.	land uses, additional criteria apply:	Plant tissue and harvest analysis
Vigor		Cropland: A healthy stand with	Crop scouting
		vigorous growth produces at least	NRCS discipline
		75% of site potential.	manuals/handbooks
		Rangeland: The plant community has	National Range and Pasture
		a similarity index of at least 60% or an upward trend for similarity indices less	Handbook
		than 60%.	Ecological Site Descriptions
		Pastureland: Forage yields are at	Rangeland Similarity Index
		least 75% of high management	Worksheet
		estimates cited in FSG reports.	Forage Suitability Groups (FSG) A clip and weigh compling
		Hayland: Forage yields at least 75%	A clip and weigh sampling procedure.
		of high mgt. estimates cited in Forage	 Plot sampling of understory
		Suitability Groups (FSG) reports	vegetation
		Forestland/Agroforest:	Soil survey reports
		Forests consist of healthy stands with vigorous growth having a stand	Soil Testing
		density within acceptable levels of	Crop/soil yield comparison in the
		stocking based on ALASKA	vicinity
		STOCKING GUIDES. Plants chosen	Pasture Condition Scoring
		for agroforest applications are	Keys for disease and insect
		consistent with Conservation Tree	symptoms
		and Shrub Groups (CTSG) listings	Rangeland Health Assessment
		and height performance.	 Stocking rate of desired species
			Plot sampling of understory
			vegetation
			AK NRCS stocking guides for Ak NRCS stocking guides for
			quality criteria determination
			 Conservation Tree and Shrub Groups (CTSG)
			A guide for lichen utilization
Page 2 of 4			Photopoint monitoring

Assessment Tools

Measurement

Resource Concern	Concern	Quality Criteria	for Quality Criteria Evaluation	Units
		PLANTS		
Plant Condition - Threatened or Endangered Plant Species: Plant Species Listed or Proposed for Listing under the Endangered Species Act	The site includes individuals, habitat or potential habitat for one or more plant species listed or proposed for listing under the Endangered Species Act.	Populations and/or habitats of Threatened and Endangered plant species are managed to maintain, increase or improve current populations, health, or sustainability.	 Client interviews Inventory site General Manual, 190, Part 410 US Fish and Wildlife Service county endangered species lists Federal and state endangered species rules and regulations Consultation with appropriate federal, state, and local agencies/groups PLANTS Website Identification of Aleutian shield fern 	Non Measurable
Plant Condition - Threatened or Endangered Plant Species: Declining Species, Species of Concern	The site includes individuals, habitat or potential habitat for one or more plant species that the State or Tribalgovernment with jurisdiction, or the State Technical Committee, has identified as a species of concern. This includes plant species that have been identified as candidates for listing under the Endangered Species Act.	Populations and/or habitats of plant species of concern are managed to maintain, increase, or improve current populations, health, or sustainability.	 Client interviews Inventory site General Manual, 190, Part 410 State list of species of concern Consultation with appropriate federal, state, and local agencies/groups PLANTS Website 	Non Measurable

State

Description of

Natural

Page 3 of 4 February, 2005

Natural	Description of	State	Assessment Tools	Measurement			
Resource	Concern	Quality	for	Units			
Concern		Criteria	Quality Criteria Evaluation				
PLANTS							

		and to minimize their spread.	•	Consult CNIPM (Committee for Noxious and Invasive Plants Management) Consultation with appropriate federal, state, and local agencies/groups State or local noxious weed list PLANTS Website	
- Forage ad part	Plants do not have dequate nutritive value or palatability for the intended see. The kinds and amounts of uel loadings (plant iomass) pose risks to uman safety, structures, and resources should vildfire occur.	Forage plants are managed to produce the desired nutritive value and palatability for the intended use. Fuel loadings are reduced and/or isolated to meet client needs in minimizing the risk and incidence of wildfire.	•	NIRS Forage Quality Analysis (NUTBAL) Plant tissue analysis Visual assessment protocols Site and flammable biomass inventories Aerial photo analysis Fire Wise evaluation process	Non Measurable Acres/Year – average annual acres protected from wildfire for the field or planning

Page 4 of 4 February, 2005